[illegible] 11 [illegible]

[illegible] Dear [illegible],

I [illegible] the list returned with corrections. What I called Arctostaphylos glauca is A. pungens as I now see by the [illegible]

Vaccinium ovalifolium Smith. I have no specimens of and therefore send one of the smaller specimens of Mrs. Austin's No. 84 so called by me which is probably var. V. caespitosum.

I also send all I have of her No. 62 as you mark the name of Hemizonella Durandi as doubtful. These I should be glad to have back as I have no other specimen of any species of Hemizonella.

Perhaps I had better send [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] can see [illegible] [illegible] the Commission. He sent me a pretty little specimen of the new plant. As to the matter of the plate he proposes I must leave that to you. If it be at all advisable to get one up (which could be done at my expense were the plant worth it,) would it not be as well to have [illegible] also in, the original plate of which is imperfect and I believe difficult to procure also?

But don't carry out either any of my suggestion "unless" the plant, are sufficiently interesting to warrant it as an aid to the science.

Please say to Watson (I forgot it when I last wrote to him) that I acquiesce entirely in his views about the Violas.

Very truly yours
H. W. Harkness

I have sent a [illegible] [illegible] and Mr. Bull + a [illegible] [illegible] to Prof. Fries. How can these be sent; - by express? And by the way here are a couple of matters I should like to know about if convenient. No. 139 Lemmon 1874 is labelled Vaccinium uliginosum - but as Bot. Cal. does not give that as (belonging as yet) to the Cal. Flora, and it appears to agree pretty well with the description, I suppose it to be V. occidentale. Gray; and what is the name of this enclosed from Coll. Kiesbrechts

H.W.H.